Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/214,124	LOPEZ LASTRA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.	1636
All Participants:	Status of Application: After a non-final rejection	
(1) Sherry M. Carty.	(3)	
(2) Quang Nguyen.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL Examiner contacted attorney Carty on 3/16/04 to propose am application is in conditions for allowance. Attorney Carty accepted	endments as they appear in E.	xaminer's Amendment, so that the
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a we record of the substance of t	ritten summary of the substance the interview since the interview.
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)